

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/725,327	12/02/2003	Katsura Ito	Q78609	2696	
7:	590 04/28/2005	EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			NGUYEN, CAM N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1754		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/725,327 .	ITO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Cam N. Nguyen	1754

		Cam N. Nguyen	1754				
The MAILING D	ATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	xpires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of						
event, however, will th	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
MONTHS OF THE FI	1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). NAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) and under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on).					
been filed is the date for purposes CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1	s of determining the period of extension a 1) the expiration date of the shortened sta- vived by the Office later than three months	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
of filing the Notice of A Since a Notice of Appe	vas filed on A brief in comp ppeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e al has been filed, any reply must b	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS		to the state of th	.				
(a) ☐ They raise new is	ment(s) filed after a final rejection, ssues that would require further co sue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		pecause			
	med to place the application in bet		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present add	litional claims without canceling a (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.				
	not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has o	overcome the following rejection(s));		(
	nended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
how the new or amend	I, the proposed amendment(s): a) ed claims would be rejected is pro-	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ w vided below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed. <u>None</u> Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u>	<u>lone</u> .						
	m consideration: <i>None</i> .	•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVI							
because applicant faile	vidence filed after a final action, bu d to provide a showing of good an sented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	<u>ot</u> be entered s necessary			
9. 🔲 The affidavit or other e	vidence filed after the date of filing fidavit or other evidence failed to o	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	e date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be			
showing a good and su	ifficient reasons why it is necessar	y and was not earlier presented. S	See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).			
10. The affidavit or other REQUEST FOR RECONSID	evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>ERATION/OTHER</u>	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
 The request for recon 	sideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
nhosphate coated fine	as set forth in the Final Office acti particles in Taoda '736 is differen	on. Furthermore, applicants urged	1, that "the surface of	the calcium			
in the present invention	on, most of the anionic active subs	tance is present on the surface of	the particles, whereas	ention, because s in Taoda '736			
most of the anionic ac	<u>ctive substance must be present or</u>	n the interface that exists between	the calcium phosphat	te coating and			
the finely divided titan	ium dioxide particles, etc." (applicate instant claims 1 and 2 do not sp	ants' response page 4, first paragr	aph). This is noted, b	out not deemed			
<u>titanium dioxide fine p</u>	particles. Also, it is considered that	at the calcium phosphate disclosed	by the Taoda '736 is	suпасе of the not being			
excluded from claime	<u>d photocatalytic powder due to the</u>	opening phrase "comprising" in lir	ne 2 of claims 1 & 2.				
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
3. ☐ Other: .				1 1			

A

AV-1754 4122-105

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20041229